

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,188	09/30/2003	Hironobu Sai	033022-010	1256	
21839 7	590 12/07/2006		EXAMINER		
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			LE, THAO X		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404 A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALLAMIDIO	1, 111 22515 1101		2814		
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/200	6 ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/673,188	SAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thao X. Le	2814 ´	

		Thao X. Le	2814				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE	REPLY FILED 21 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•				
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba īdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) b)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
have l under set for may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
	NDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		7770 1 20 0			
_	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).			
6. 🔲	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. 🖾	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,4 and 10-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).			
] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatic <u>JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. [The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation would change the scope of the claimed invention that would require further search and or consideration .

THAO X. LE
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

17/04/06